From: Marron, Jeffrey A. (Fed)

To: Apon, Daniel C. (Fed); no reply@nist.gov

Cc: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)

Subject: RE: NPS: REMINDER - ERB Sponsor Review Manuscript

Date: Thursday, September 30, 2021 3:39:32 PM

Sure, I'll check it out. If we avoid a shutdown, I should have time to review it tomorrow.

From: Apon, Daniel C. (Fed) <daniel.apon@nist.gov>

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 2:44 PM

**To:** Marron, Jeffrey A. (Fed) <jeffrey.marron@nist.gov>; no reply@nist.gov

Cc: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>

**Subject:** Re: NPS: REMINDER - ERB Sponsor Review Manuscript

Hi Jeff,

I've uploaded a revised draft of the paper to NIKE ERB that addresses all of the prior reviewers' comments (it's also been submitted to Eurocrypt 2022, with Final Version Due on March 1, 2022 if accepted).

It should be in a publishable state from my point of view

Apologies again for the long delay from March (of this year) until now!

Best,

--Daniel

From: Marron, Jeffrey A. (Fed) < jeffrey.marron@nist.gov>

Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 6:26 AM

To: Apon, Daniel C. (Fed) < <a href="mailto:daniel.apon@nist.gov">daniel.apon@nist.gov</a>; <a href="mailto:no-reply@nist.gov">no-reply@nist.gov</a> <a href="mailto:

Cc: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) < sara.kerman@nist.gov>

Subject: RE: NPS: REMINDER - ERB Sponsor Review Manuscript

Thanks for the update, Daniel.

From: Apon, Daniel C. (Fed) < daniel.apon@nist.gov>

Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 8:21 PM

To: no reply@nist.gov; Marron, Jeffrey A. (Fed) <ieffrey.marron@nist.gov>

**Cc:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) < <u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: NPS: REMINDER - ERB Sponsor Review Manuscript

Hi Jeff/Sara,

I wanted to let you know that we're about 99% done with a revision of this paper, and we're planning to send it to Eurocrypt next week.

(Same rules seem to apply: We'll submit the manuscript for conference peer review, and reboot the ERB process. There should be more than enough time -- many months -- for ERB to complete before any publishable final copy is due to the conference (assuming its accepted).)

Cheers,
--Daniel

From: no\_reply@nist.gov <no\_reply@nist.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 5:31 AM

**To:** Marron, Jeffrey A. (Fed) < <u>jeffrey.marron@nist.gov</u>>

**Cc:** Apon, Daniel C. (Fed) < <a href="mailto:daniel.apon@nist.gov">daniel.apon@nist.gov</a>>; Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) < <a href="mailto:sara.kerman@nist.gov">sara.kerman@nist.gov</a>>

Subject: NPS: REMINDER - ERB Sponsor Review Manuscript

You were selected to review the manuscript listed below 5 month(s) ago. If you are unable to complete your review, please inform the ERB sponsor for this paper :

Title: Towards Further Realizing Random Oracles: Post-Quantum Non-Malleable Point Obfuscation

Author Name(s): Apon Daniel; Cho Chongwon; Eldefrawy Karim; Liu Feng-Hao; Ostrovsky

Rafail

Date Submitted: 3/2/2021 ERB Control Number: G2021-0620

You can login to the NIKE ERB application to view this manuscript at: <a href="https://tsapps-i.nist.gov/nps/npsui">https://tsapps-i.nist.gov/nps/npsui</a>.

Please access the application, review the document, and add comments and a marked-up version of the manuscript if you've created one. You can approve the paper as it is, approve it with suggested changes, or return it to the author for mandatory changes. (When the author uploads a new version to address mandatory changes, you will be notified and given another opportunity to review and approve.)

Reviews are conducted to ensure that the quality management objectives for our publications are met in order to maintain NIST's reputation. All reviewers have specific responsibilities. The Group Leader ensures that the paper is ready for further review, evaluating its technical, and editorial quality as well as policy considerations. Technical reviewers read primarily for quality of technical content but can also assess editorial quality and compliance with policy. The Division Chief reads for technical and editorial quality and for policy compliance. The sponsor reads primarily to ensure compliance with policy but also for technical and editorial quality. Guidance for reviewers is available at <a href="https://inet.nist.gov/files/pdf/guideforauthorsandreviewers.">https://inet.nist.gov/files/pdf/guideforauthorsandreviewers.</a>

Once your work is completed, signal that you are finished by clicking on the appropriate button.

## Instructions for reviewing:

- Go to <a href="https://tsapps-i.nist.gov/nps/npsui">https://tsapps-i.nist.gov/nps/npsui</a>
- On the dashboard, click on the appropriate record to open it.
- In the center of the page, you can choose "Manuscript Details," "Comments," etc. Select "Document Management" and scroll down for a link to the paper.
- Review the paper and either upload a marked up copy of the manuscript, upload a separate document containing comments, or make a general comment.
- Approve the paper as is, approve it with suggested changes, or return it to the author for mandatory changes.
- The system will tell the authors that you've completed your review and will notify the next reviewers in line that it's their turn. You will be cc'd so

you know that your action has been completed.

Please reply to erbchair@nist.gov if you have any questions/comments.

Thank you!

NIST Publications System (NPS) Administrators